

#### **CompactGpu: Massively Parallel Memory Defragmentation on GPUs**

#### Matthias Springer

Tokyo Institute of Technology

#### ACM SRC @ PLDI 2019



## Introduction / Motivation

- Goal: Make GPU programming easier to use.
- Focus: Object-oriented programming on GPUs/CUDA.
  - Many OOP applications in high-performance computing.
  - DynaSOAr [1]: Dynamic memory allocator for GPUs.
  - **CompactGpu:** Make allocations more space/runtime efficient with memory defragmentation.

[1] M. Springer, H. Masuhara. DynaSOAr: A Parallel Memory Allocator for Objectoriented Programming on GPUs with Efficient Memory Access. ECOOP 2019.



# Why Defragment GPU Memory?

- Space Efficiency: Reduce overall memory consumption (and prevent premature out of memory errors).
- Runtime Efficiency: Accessing compact data requires fewer vector transactions and benefits cache utilization.





## GPU Allocation Characteristics

- Massive number of concurrent allocations.
- Most allocations are small and have the **same size** (due to mostly uniform control flow).
- Allows us to optimize defragmentation more than on CPUs.



## Related Work / State of the Art REAL RELATED WORK / State of the Art

- Dynamic GPU Memory Allocation
  - Not well supported until recently, so not widely utilized yet.
  - Default CUDA allocator (malloc/free): Unoptimized and extremely slow.
  - Halloc [2], ScatterAlloc/mallocMC [3]: Fast (de)allocation time, but high fragmentation (hashing).
  - DynaSOAr: My own allocator, with additional optimizations for structured data (objects).
- GPU Memory Defragmentation [4]
  - High runtime overhead (up to 50%).
  - Different assumptions about allocation pattern.
  - Uses a memory allocator for moving allocations in memory.

[4] R. Veldema, M. Phillipsen. Parallel Memory Defragmentation on a GPU. MSPC 2012.



### DynaSOAr Heap Layout





#### DynaSOAr Heap Layout

東京工業大学 Tokyo Institute of Technology





Tokvo Institute of Technolog

### Block Merging: 1 + 1 = 1





Tokyo Institute of Technology

### Block Merging: 1 + 2 = 2



CompactGpu - ACM SRC @ PLDI 2019

9



## Block Merging: 1 + n = n

- Higher *n*: Better defragmentation guarantees.
- Lower *n*: A bit faster, fewer passes.
- *n* is can be configured by the programmer.





## **Pointer Rewriting**

- Rewrite pointers to objects that were moved.
- Basic Ideas:
  - Store forwarding pointers in source blocks.
  - Allocator has knowledge about the structure (fields, classes) of the data it is allocating. No need to scan the entire heap.
  - Quickly decide if a pointer must be rewritten with **bitmaps** that fit in the L2 cache.



東京

#### Benchmark Results: n-body



### Conclusion



- Efficient memory defragmentation is feasible on GPUs.
- Besides saving memory, defragmentation makes usage of allocated memory more efficient: **Better cache utilization and better vectorized access**.
- GPU allocation patterns allow us to implement defragmentation very efficiently.
  - Choosing source/target blocks: Parallel prefix sum.
  - *Copying objects:* Very efficient due to SOA layout.
  - *Rewriting pointers:* Fast due to many optimizations that reduce #memory accesses (bitmaps, restricting heap scan areas).



#### References

[1] M. Springer, H. Masuhara. DynaSOAr: A Parallel Memory Allocator for Objectoriented Programming on GPUs with Efficient Memory Access. ECOOP 2019.

[2] A. V. Adinetz and D. Pleiter. Halloc: A High-Throughput Dynamic Memory Allocator for GPGPU Architectures. GPU Technology Conference 2014.

[3] M. Steinberger, M. Kenzel, B. Kainz, D. Schmalstieg. ScatterAlloc: Massively Parallel Dynamic Memory Allocation for the GPU. InPar 2012.

[4] R. Veldema, M. Phillipsen. Parallel Memory Defragmentation on a GPU. MSPC 2012.